

COURTS IN GREENLEE COUNTY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Reduce travel and cost required to obtain COJET training by videoconferencing.
- Reduce high cost of contracting court reporters and court interpreters using technology.
- Implement courtroom video recording, videoconferencing, and digital evidence presentation.
- Ensure longer life for audio court records and better protect digital audio files from loss.
- Work with county justice partners to eliminate re-keying of criminal data and improve accuracy.
- Continue enhancing physical security within superior court and annex buildings, including JP #1.
- Continue to enhance court website content, including addition of court forms.
- Improve case processing using performance measures.
- Construct new courthouse for justice court in Duncan.

CY 2015/16 COURT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Obtained ACAP laptops that enable key personnel access to court records from offsite.
- Installed local Wi-Fi in superior court courthouse for attorney use.
- Updated court's website to include fee schedule.
- Transitioned Probation financials ("Dave's Program") to supported operating system; began discussions about longer-term supportability.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS: IMPACTS, CONCERNS, AND PARTICIPATION PLANS

LJ CMS	Positive about reduction in manual keying and ability to speed case processing; will be early adopter (but little or no LJ input to plan).
JOLTZaz	Looking to reduce manual input times; will be late adopter.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Looking to improve filings from out-of-county attorneys; will be mid cycle adopter.
Bench Automation	Necessitates new equipment and judge training but saves paper, printer ink, and staff time; will be mid-cycle adopters.

RISKS AND CONCERNS (SECURITY AND ARCHITECTURE)

- Probation dependent on "Dave's Program" for financials long-term – no alternative exists.
- Don't perform local development; upgraded lone item in retirement status.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Courthouse Security	FY18		X		Superior Court building
Courtroom Video Recording, Conferencing & Evidence Presentation	FY18		X		Superior Court; Exhibit One, incl. Video Remote Interpreting
Audio Records	FY20		X		Superior Court Clerk
Interface Programs	FY20		X		All courts/Local justice partners
Server Replacement	FY19		X		Arch/ Security Req't

Note 1:

An "X" in "Full" indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology's Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An "X" in "Skeletal" indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An "X" in "Mention" indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.